# Submission of Federal Rules Under the Congressional Review Act

N
RU807-0
TO 8459

President of the Senate Speaker	r of the House of Representatives	∐ GAO
Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pe	ncil.	
Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office	
Department of Defense	OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR)	
3. Rule Title		
Multiyear Contracting Authority Revisions		
4. Rule Identification Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier DFARS C	(if applicable) ase 2002-D041	,
5. Major Rule ⊖ Non-major Rule ⊕		
6. Final Rule Other O		
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solicit public co	mments? Yes No (	
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)		
<ul> <li>Economically Significant; or Significant; or</li> </ul>	Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative	valOthar
Substantive, Nonsignificant	(Do not complete the other if filled in above.)	
9. Effective Date (if applicable) March 19, 2004 (estimated)		
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both) attac	hed stated in rule (	
Submitted by: Lorence John	<u>s</u> (signature)	
Name: Deidre A. Lee		
Title: Director, Defense Procurement and Acq	uisition Policy	
For Congressional Use Only:		SPI
Date Received:		REAK S. FAK
Committee of Jurisdiction:		RECEIVE PR 29 PH HOUSE OF IC
	^\	
		点点 ?; O
		C7 (7) 9723/99

	Yes	No	N/A
With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	0	•
With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
<ol> <li>certify that the rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)?</li> </ol>	•	0	0
2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0	•
With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	0	•
Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	0	•	0
Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to this rule?	•	0	0
● E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	0	•
<ul> <li>E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights</li> </ul>	0	0	•
■ E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review	•	0	0
■ E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership	0	0	•
● E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	0	•
<ul> <li>E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks</li> </ul>	0	0	•
<ul> <li>Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble concerning the rulemaking process (please specify)</li> </ul>			
	and benefits?  With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency  1. certify that the rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. \$ 605(b)?  2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. \$ 604(a)?  With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under \$ 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?  With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?  Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?  Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to this rule?  • E.O. 12612, Federalism  • E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights  • E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review  • E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership  • E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform  • E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?  With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency  1. certify that the rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. \$ 605(b)?  2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. \$ 604(a)?  With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under \$ 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?  With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?  Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?  Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to this rule?  • E.O. 12612, Federalism  • E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights  • E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review  • E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership  • E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform  • E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks  • Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?  With respect to this rule, at the final rulemaking stage, did your agency  1. certify that the rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. 8 605(b)?  2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. 8 604(a)?  With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under \$ 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?  With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?  Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?  Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to this rule?   E.O. 12612, Federalism  E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights  E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review  E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership  E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform  E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks  Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble

(Billing Code 5001-08-P)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 217

[DFARS Case 2002-D041]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement;

Multiyear Contracting Authority Revisions

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD has adopted as final, without change, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 820 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003. Section 820 restricts the use of multiyear contracts for supplies to only those for complete and usable end items, and restricts the use of advance procurement to only those long-lead items necessary in order to meet a planned delivery schedule for complete major end items.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Teresa Brooks, Defense
Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DAR), IMD 3C132,
3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone
(703) 602-0326; facsimile (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Background

DoD published an interim rule at 68 FR 50474 on August 21, 2003. The rule amended DFARS Subpart 217.1 to implement Section 820 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314). Section 820 amended the multiyear contracting authority at 10 U.S.C. 2306b(i) to specify that DoD may obligate funds for procurement of an end item under a multiyear contract only if the item is a complete and usable end item; and that DoD may obligate funds for advance procurement of property only for those long-lead items necessary to meet a planned delivery schedule for complete major end items that are programmed under the contract to be acquired with funds appropriated for a subsequent fiscal year (including an economic order quantity of such long-lead items when authorized by law).

DoD received no comments on the interim rule. Therefore, DoD is adopting the interim rule as a final rule without change.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

## B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD certifies that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule primarily pertains to DoD planning and budget considerations with regard to multiyear contracts.

## C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

## List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 217

Government procurement.

## Michele P. Peterson,

Executive Editor,

Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

## Interim Rule Adopted as Final Without Change

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 48 CFR Part 217, which was published at 68 FR 50474 on August 21, 2003, is adopted as a final rule without change.